

Bureau Veritas Certification North America, Inc. SFI Forest Management Audit Report 16800 Greenspoint Park Dr., Suite 300 S Houston, TX 77060 Phone (281) 986-1300: Toll Free (800) 937-9311

Company Name	Port Blakely Tree Farms (Limited Partnership) and Island Timber Company Limited Partnership
Contact Person	Eric Cohen, Forest Database Manager
Address	8133 River Drive SE Tumwater, WA 98501
Phone / Fax	360-596-9425/ 360-570-0311
PQC Code	E01E
Contract Number	US.2159001
Contract Number	US.2159001

Certification	Re-Certification	Surveillance	#3	Scope extension	
Audit:	Audit:	Audit:		audit:	

Audit Summary Introduction

This report summarizes the results of Port Blakely's 36-month Surveillance audit conducted at their headquarters office in Tumwater, Washington and their SW Washington and Central Washington tree farms. Julie Stangell, Bureau Veritas Certification Lead Auditor conducted the audit from March 19-21, 2019. Ms. Stangell is a professional forester with more than 30 years of field experience and is an EMS/RABQSA certified lead auditor.

Audit Scope, Objectives and Process

The scope of the audit was Port Blakely's US Forestry division forest management operations in Washington and Oregon on approximately 149,000 acres. The audit goal was to verify conformance to the SFI 2015-2019 Standard with Objectives 1-15 per the audit results below, excluding Objective 13. The objective of the SFI audit was to 1) verify that the Program Participant's SFI Program is in conformance with the SFI objectives, performance measures, and indicators and to 2) verify whether the Program Participant has effectively implemented its SFI Standard program requirements. Standard Bureau Veritas protocols and forms were applied throughout the audit as provided by the current version of the Bureau Veritas Certification Auditor Handbook and supplemental SFI Handbook. Field notes and an SFI indicator checklist were completed and contain specific information and audit notes.

Audit Plan

The surveillance audit was conducted over a total of two and a half days from March 19-21, 2019. A detailed daily audit plan is on file with Bureau Veritas Certification.

Company Information

Port Blakely (PB) is a family-held, multi-generational forestland owner in the states of Washington and Oregon, in operation since 1864. PB also manages lands in New Zealand that are certified to the FSC standard. They have been certified to the SFI Forest Management Standard since 2002. PB is in the business of growing trees, harvesting and selling logs, reforesting, managing reforestation to a "free-to-grow" status, and maintaining and protecting the forest's health, while protecting and

enhancing fish and wildlife habitat, soils, air and water quality. They manage three tree farms from their central office in Tumwater, Washington: Central Washington, Southwest Washington and Northwest Oregon with an Oregon field office in Molalla, OR. Their forests are located on the west side of the Cascade Range with the predominant species Douglas-fir, Western hemlock and Western red cedar. PB has a multiple species Habitat Conservation Plan and a Safe Harbor Agreement, both with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service. PB also has a very engaged and mature outreach and education program that targets local school districts in both Washington and Oregon. PB is considered a single site organization.

Audit Results

The audit consisted of document and record review, interviews of key employees and field site visits in the Central Washington and SW Washington regions representing a broad spectrum of activities. Thirteen field sites were visited with three units being actively harvested including one thinning unit and several completed units visited. New road construction, road reconstruction, road deactivation and abandonment and fish passage upgrades were viewed. Silviculture activities reviewed were completed site prep spray, planting and control of browse from ungulates as well as control of invasive species and alder management. Management of carbon and interactions with local tribes was discussed. A pioneer gravesite was visited where the company is actively protecting this special site and a research site was visited. Objectives 1, 2, 3, 8, 11, 12, 14 and 15 were office and field audited and objectives 4, 5, 6, 7, 9 and 10 were field audited.

Objective 1 -- Forest Management Planning: PB continues to use Assisi as the cruise/inventory complier, which can generate stand tables for growth modeling in Organon and Woodstock to model the forest estate and determine annual cut levels with depletion updated twice per year. The GIS system is complete and mature and includes fish & wildlife, water resources, soil, land classification, stand attributes, roads and numerous other attributes. Past records and future harvest trend graphs clearly demonstrate sustainable harvest levels will be maintained. Increased productivity through enhanced silvicultural treatments such as fertilization are used to increase the annual allowable cut with actual gains measured through inventory. PB is striving to maintain an even flow, long-term non-declining harvest regime.

Objective 2 -- Forest Health and Productivity: All units observed on field visits were planted within 12 months of harvesting. Each unit is formally surveyed at the time of planting and for survival within one year. Follow-up surveys are conducted annually for the next 4 growing seasons for a total of 5 years. No exotic species were observed on any sites visited in the field. PB's policy is to use the minimum amount of chemical necessary to accomplish control objectives with more than one third of the acres treated applied by hand application. Herbaceous control work is conducted by contractors under the supervision of PB foresters; both employees and contractors have pesticide applicator licenses that were verified. All chemicals applied are registered and labeled for use in the states of Oregon and Washington. Contractor and PB spray records and reports provide evidence that application was done in compliance with label and legal requirements. No overspray was observed. No chemicals banned by the World Health Organization or Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants were used.

PB is continually seeking to implement integrated pest management strategies. Examples include planting alternate species (red alder, cedar and white pine) in root rot problem areas or hand slashing in lieu of chemical application in select areas. Bear damage is being addressed by investing in research to understand the problem and research the options for reducing damage to trees. Multiple erosion control methods were observed including timing of operations such as wet-weather restrictions, properly locating roads and trails, rocking roads and cross-drainage and removing or decommissioning roads where needed and appropriate. PB strives to reuse existing roads, trails and landings in stable locations outside of RMAs. New road construction is typically planned and

completed one to two years in advance with adequate surface rock applied making most roads appropriate for all-weather haul. Non-system roads and those located near streams are often decommissioned immediately following harvest and poorly located legacy roads removed where feasible. Contractors are well versed in erosion control measures and no evidence of accelerated erosion was observed.

Objective 3 -- Protection and Maintenance of Water Resources: PB follows and generally exceeds mandatory requirements of the Washington Forest Practices Act. Legal requirements have been incorporated into the company BMP and operational guides and contracts. Contactors interviewed had excellent BMP knowledge. Sites are monitored at least weekly to ensure compliance. The wildlife staff field verifies locations of all non-forested wetlands, regardless of size and the foresters either meet the rule requirements or protect beyond what is required. Protection is done by identification in the map layer, flagging on the ground, and pre and post-harvest assessments. Wildlife biologists utilizing standardized protocol type streams prior to operations. Two Opportunities for Improvement (OFI) were identified related to standardization of BMP documentation and placement of sills on bridges. See OFI section in findings below.

Objective 4 -- Conservation of Biological Diversity: Objective 4 was audited in the field this audit cycle. PB has two long-term landscape-level agreements in place with the US Fish & Wildlife Service, a Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) and a Safe Harbor Agreement (SHA). Prior to unit layout, every unit is assessed for T&E and sensitive species, snags, down wood, residual trees, cultural features, and aquatic features by internal and external database searches and site visits. Noxious weed control is an integral component of the land management program. Harvest unit review includes the assessment of invasive species. PB has a signed Candidate Conservation Agreement with Assurance (CCAA) for the fisher in Washington.

Objective 5 -- Management of Visual Quality and Recreational Benefits: Objective 5 was audited in the field this audit cycle. PB has a visual policy in place in the form of a flow chart that is based on legal requirements for Oregon and Washington. The average clearcut size is consistently less than 60 acres with the process for calculating clearcut size clearly defined. PB utilizes the legal requirements of the Washington Forest Practices Act as the method for determining green-up. Recreational access is encouraged with walk-in access welcomed. Excellent description of rules and open/ closed areas appears on the website, US Forestry/public access page.

Objective 6 -- Protection of Special Sites: Objective 6 was audited in the field this audit cycle. Units are mapped 5 years ahead of harvest by walking ground looking for sensitive, unique areas such as caves, nests, ecologically sensitive areas, water features, identify end of fish, culvert passage barriers and type to forest practices rules. Biologists walk all streams and GIS data points. Field data is reviewed in the office against Natural Heritage Oregon, Washington, Marbled Murrelet 50-mile zone, Roosevelt elk area, Northern Spotted Owl, etc. At least 1 field visit, generally 2-5 visits are made to each unit prior to harvest. Unique areas are put into GIS layers, a 1-page summary of wildlife comments goes into CENGEA as a reference for foresters as they lay out the unit.

Objective 7 -- Efficient Use of Fiber Resources: Objective 7 was audited in the field this audit cycle. Multiple sorts may be produced on each job with utilization inspected on each job at regular intervals. Landing piles may be burned, however operations are moving toward scattering residual debris as wildlife habitat, nutrient cycling and to minimize the need to burn piles.

Objective 8 -- Recognize and Respect Indigenous People's Rights: Port Blakely has worked to enhance stakeholder engagement including several voluntary collaborative projects with local tribes. The objectives of the projects were to improve mutual understanding, communication and cooperation around protection of cultural resources and practices, as well as to identify opportunities

to work together on common goals. They have reached out to tribal neighbors to build relationships in order to identify culturally sensitive areas to ensure they are appropriately preserved over and above what is legally required. This was identified as a Notable Practice.

Objective 9 -- Legal and Regulatory Compliance: Objective 9 was audited in the field this audit cycle. WA Forest Practices and OR Forest Practices are available in hard copy and on line. All contracts require the contracted company to follow all laws. No regulatory actions have been taken against the company.

Objective 10 -- Forestry Research, Science and Technology: Objective 10 was audited in the field this audit cycle. Port Blakely has a dedicated research forester and continues to be a leader in forest research. PB is involved in numerous coops that they support financially, through expertise and by providing land for research plots and data for projects. They are involved in collaborative work with the USFS on projects such as the seed source movement trial and scotch broom study. They also work with WDFW on a fish distribution study and with DNR on Western red cedar establishment working with trees with high monoturpines. They are working with NCASI on a study retaining forest structure, identifying how structure impacts wildlife. Priorities for research are identified in an internal document to facilitate incorporation of research into the business.

Objective 11 -- Training and Education: Each logging contract designates "an on-site representative who will be in charge of the logging operations and available at the logging site at all times during the logging Operations." PB requires these designated individuals be trained to the Washington Contract Logger Association or Associated Oregon Logger programs that are the SFI recognized training standards for contractors in the two states where they own property. All employees interviewed had knowledge appropriate to their roles and responsibilities. The company encourages their resource professionals to enhance their skills through training.

Objective 12 -- Community Involvement and Landowner Outreach: PB has an outstanding education outreach program with two people employed to assist in this effort, one in Oregon and one in Washington. Educators go into classroom for 1 hour to do stations then takes classes to the forest to a set tour route for hands-on activities. There is an increasing trend in the number of students who visit their forest education centers with more students every year than the year before. In addition to their education program, PB management and staff serve in leadership positions with numerous boards, committees and associations. They have entered the voluntary carbon market with the Winston Creek Forest Carbon Project. Port Blakely's project provides additional carbon sequestration and several co-benefits resulting from an extended harvest rotation and other voluntary management practices associated with their federal Safe Harbor Agreement. This was identified as a notable practice.

Objective 13 -- Public Land Management Responsibilities: Not applicable

Objective 14 -- Communications and Public Reporting: The 2018 PB Audit Report was posted to the SFI website. All records necessary for reporting to SFI are maintained electronically and were reviewed as part of this audit. The 2019 SFI Annual Progress Report is in draft form and is being prepared for submission to SFI, Inc. prior to the March 31, 2019 deadline.

Objective 15 -- Management Review: PB uses a multi-tiered management review process. SFI is on the agenda of each monthly management meetings as necessary and there are SFI program reviews during departmental and management meetings that occur several times during the year. Management systems are in place to share information at both an operational and policy level among staff to provide up and down feedback. The results of the third-party audit are reviewed with the Board of Directors on an annual basis.

Findings

Previous non-conformances:

No nonconformities were identified during the previous audit.

Non-conformances:

No nonconformities were identified.

Opportunities for Improvement:

Two opportunities for improvement were identified:

- Indicator 3.1.3: The Company may wish to consider standardization of processes for documenting site visits to active operations.
- Indicator 3.2.1: The Company may wish to add sills on bridge decks to prevent material from entering water during haul.

Notable Practices:

Two notable practices were identified:

- Indicator 8.2.1: Port Blakely has worked to enhance stakeholder engagement including several voluntary collaborative projects with local tribes. The objectives of the projects were to improve mutual understanding, communication and cooperation around protection of cultural resources and practices, as well as to identify opportunities to work together on common goals.
- Indicator 12.1.3: Port Blakely has entered the voluntary carbon market with the Winston Creek Forest Carbon Project. Port Blakely's project provides additional carbon sequestration and several co-benefits resulting from an extended harvest rotation and other voluntary management practices associated with their federal Safe Harbor Agreement.

Logo/label use:

The correct use of the SFI logo on the PB website (portblakely.com/port-blakely/stewardship) was verified including use of registration marks and a link to the SFI website. SFI approval for logo use was also confirmed. PB uses the BV logo on their website with approval for use renewed March 20, 2019.

SFI reporting:

Verification of the SFI website was completed to ensure that the audit report from the previous audit cycle (2018) had been submitted to SFI, Inc. and posted to their website.

Conclusions

A closing meeting was held on March 21, 2019 at the Port Blakely office in Tumwater, Washington with other employees joining via conference call. The results of the audit were summarized, and findings presented. In the opinion of the auditor and based on the evidence presented, results of this 36-month surveillance audit conclude that Port Blakely has successfully met the requirements of the SFI 2015-2019 Standard, therefore continued certification is recommended.

SEE SF61 FOR AUDIT NOTES

Summary of Audit Findings:											
Audit Date(s):	From: March 19, 2019					To: March 21, 2019					
Number of SF02's Raised:	Major:				0		Minor:	0			
Is a follow up visit required:	Yes		No	Χ	Date	(s) of f	follow	v up visit:			
Follow-up visit remarks:											
	Теа	ım Lea	der	Reco	mme	endatio	on:				
Corrective Action Plan(s) Act	cepted	Yes		N	0		N/A	Х	Date:		
Proceed to/Continue Certifica	ation	Yes	Х				N/A		Date:	Mar 21, 2019	
All NCR's Closed		Yes		N	-		N/A	Х	Date:		
		ndard a	udit	cond	ucted	l again	ist:				
1) SFI 2014-2019 Section 2	2 FM	3)									
2)		4)									
Team Leader (1):		eam Members (2,3,4)									
Julie Stangell		2)									
		3)									
		4)									
5)		-)									
Scope of Supply: (scope statement must be verified and appear in the space below)											
Forest Management operations in Washington and Oregon on approximately 140,000 acres											
Accreditations	ANAB										
Number of Certificates	1										
Proposed Date for Next Audit Event											
Date March 24-25-26, 2020											
Audit Report Distribution											
PB: Eric Cohen ; ecohen@portblakely.com											
BV: Liliana Ramirez; liliana.ramirez@us.bureauveritas.com				n							

Clause		Audit Report
Opening Meeting	Participants:	Eric Cohen - Forest Database Manager, Court Stanley - President, Lauren Magalska - Research Forester, Devon Powell – Area Forester, Claudine Reynolds – Director of Wildlife, Leif Hansen – Wildlife Biologist, Teresa Loo – Director Environmental Affairs & Communication, Chris Whitson – Silviculture Manager, Chris Lacy – GIS manager, Orv Mowry – Area Manager, Ryan Parker – Inventory Forester, Jerry Bailey – Area Manager, Michelle Buenzli - Communication Manager, Leslie Wait – Inventory Forester, Shelly Alexander – Accounting, Dennis Muller – Special Forest Products, Zach Carras – Contracts Admin, Jeff Geer – Area Forester, Sara Rise – GIS Forester, Kim Laymon – Sr. Log Accountant, Jessica Weigel – Ops/ Accounting, Mike Warjone – Ops VP
	Discussions:	 Introductions Scope of the audit Audit schedule/plan Nonconformance types - Major / Minor Review of previous nonconformances - 0. Process approach to auditing and audit sampling Confidentiality agreement Termination of the audit Appeals process Closing meeting timing
Closing Meeting	Participants:	Eric Cohen - Forest Database Manager, Court Stanley - President, Devon Powell – Area Forester, Claudine Reynolds – Director of Wildlife, Leif Hansen – Wildlife Biologist, Teresa Loo – Director Environmental Affairs & Communication, Chris Whitson – Silviculture Manager, Chris Lacy – GIS manager, Ryan Parker – Inventory Forester, Jerry Bailey – Area Manager, Michelle Buenzli - Communication Manager, Jeff Geer – Area Forester, Sara Rise – GIS Forester, Kim Laymon – Sr. Log Accountant, Jessica Weigel – Ops/ Accounting, Mike Warjone – Ops VP, Josh Meek Forestry
	Discussions:	 Introductions and appreciation for selecting Bureau Veritas Certification. Review of audit process - process approach and sampling. Review of OFIs and System Strengths Nonconformances - 0 Date for next audit. Reporting protocol and timing